



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,225	08/30/2006	Rainer Moller	INA-42	3766
20311	7590	03/06/2009	EXAMINER	
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH 15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016			HANNON, THOMAS R	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3656	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
03/06/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/596,225	Applicant(s) MOLLER ET AL.
	Examiner Thomas R. Hannon	Art Unit 3656

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 February 2009.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-8 and 10 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 3,4 and 9 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 February 2009 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/09

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mori et al. US 4,557,679.

Mori discloses a bearing assembly (Figure 14) comprising-at least one first bearing as an axially free radial support (5c) at least one second bearing (7) as a radially free axial support; having a common axis of rotation, at least a first bearing ring of the first bearing and a second bearing ring (7o) of the second bearing being rotationally fixed in relation to at least one bearing seat (3m), wherein the first bearing ring and the second bearing ring lie axially opposite each other and the second bearing ring is by coupled with the first bearing ring, contactlessly in relation to the bearing seat, in a locking and rotationally fixed manner, via the connecting element (21-25). With respect to claims 5 and 6, the bearing seat (3m) includes a bore in a housing, the outer ring of bearing 5c being fixedly mounted in the bore. With respect to claims 7 and 8, the outer bearing rings of the bearings are at least partially engaged around by the housing, the first bearing ring being fixedly seated in a constant diameter bore (5c mounted in the bore) and the second bearing ring (7o) is surrounded circumferentially on the outside by a radial air gap (seen in Figure 14). With respect to claim 10, the bearings of Mori are rolling bearings.

Claims 3, 4, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed February 6, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that Mori does not disclose a second bearing ring coupled with a first bearing ring, contactlessly in relation to the bearing seat, in a locking and rotationally fixed manner. Applicant asserts that "The connecting element (21-25) that is disclosed in Mori, *et al.* is for connecting the rolling thrust bearing to the casing member and **not** to couple the rolling thrust bearing to the radial bearing." However, even though the connecting element of Mori connects the rolling thrust bearing to the casing, it nonetheless connects the rolling thrust bearing to the first bearing, as the first bearing is coupled to the casing. As both bearings are coupled to the one casing, the bearings are coupled to one another as required by the claim. Claim 1 requires "the second bearing ring is coupled with the first bearing ring, contactlessly **in relation to the bearing seat**". The second bearing ring is coupled with the first bearing ring in relation to the bearing seat, as required by the claim. Additionally, the first bearing ring is coupled contactlessly in relation to the bearing seat in a locking and rotationally fixed manner. While the structure of Mori may differ from that disclosed, it does not differ from that claimed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard WL Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas R. Hannon/

Application/Control Number: 10/596,225
Art Unit: 3656

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656

Page 5